Genetic Issues of Some of the Non Metallic Minerals in Lesser Himalaya
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Abstract

A brief account of the representative and workable industrial minerals namely magnesite, talc and barite in Lesser Himalaya, is presented here emphasizing their genesis. Deposits of magnesite and talc are found associated with Neoproterozoic, platform type, shelf-slope limestone-dolomite host rocks from inner Lesser Himalayan sequences. Field, textural, geochemical signatures and fluid inclusions trapped in dolomite and magnesite reveal within basin processes, in an increased burial-diagenetic environment responsible for formation of magnesite replacing dolomite. Talc is formed at the expense of magnesite and silica, and with limited dolomite involvement at transition conditions from diagenetic to metamorphism. Barite deposit is hosted within Neoproterozoic Nagthat quartzite rocks of outer Lesser Himalaya, wherein its textures, fluid inclusion, sulfur and strontium isotopic studies helped in genetic understanding.
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1. Introduction

The reserves and prospects of non metallic minerals from Himalaya are significant not only because such materials are fundamental requirement of many industries and essential to infrastructure development, but also because their study help in understanding the geological environment and evolution. Among the four broad geotectonic divisions of Himalaya viz. Lower Himalaya, Lesser Himalaya, Central Himalaya and the Tethys Himalaya, much of the economic minerals are located in the Lesser Himalaya which is largely formed of the Precambrian elements of the Indian shield (Valdiya, 1995). The non metallic minerals in Himalaya are particularly hosted within the sedimentary sequences with only graphite occurring in the crystallines. Present discussion briefly focuses on magnesite, barite and talc from the sedimentary sequences of Lesser Himalaya emphasizing their formation and evolution. Various studies including incident light and scanning electron microscopy, geochemical work, fluid inclusions microthermometry and Raman spectroscopy has been vital for this purpose.

Regional Geology

The Lesser Himalaya, demarcated by the Main Boundary Thrust (MBT) in the south, and Main Central Thrust (MCT) in the north, represents a distinct lithotectonic unit of the Himalaya.

*Corresponding author.
E-mail address (es): sharmarajesh@wihg.res.in

This is broadly divisible into Inner Lesser Himalaya and the Outer Lesser Himalaya, having basement of the crystallines representing Indian cratonic rocks. The Lesser Himalayan sequences were deposited from Paleoproterozoic to Cambrian age in marine conditions on the passive margin setting of Indian continent (Valdiya, 1980; Srivastava and Mitra, 1994; Ahmad, 2000, Miller 2000; Celerier, 2009). The Inner Lesser Himalaya sediments are the lower flysh and quartz arenites with basic volcanics, and the upper carbonate shale assemblages. The 1800 ma old Birinag Formation constitute the lower most sequence of the Inner Lesser Himalaya (Miller, 2000), overlain by Chakrata and Rautgara Formation, Neoproterozoic Deoban Formation- mainly the carbonates and above them Mandhali Formation consisting slate and carbonates. The outer Lesser Himalaya is folded sequence of sedimentary rocks comprising 850 ma turbidites of Chandpur Formation, overlain successively by Nagthat quartzites, Blaini conglomerates, Neoproterozoic Krol Formation which is largely calcareous, and above it Cambrian age elastic sediments of the Tal Formation. A number of the klippen of the crystalline rocks present in Lesser Himalaya are result of the thrusting of basement rocks over the sedimentary succession. Present focus is on the magnesite-talc which is hosted within the carbonates of the Deoban Formation, and on the workable barite mineralization occurring in the Nagthat quartzite host rocks (Fig 1). The Deoban Formation is a thick succession of stromatolite bearing, siliceous dolomite and dolomitic limestone with intercalated bands of blue limestone and grey slates.
**Magnesite-Talc Mineralization**

Deposits of magnesite are found associated with Neoproterozoic, platform type limestone-dolomite host rocks from inner Lesser Himalayan sequences, although minor magnesite occurrences are associated with ophiolitic suites in Indus Suture Zone. Sizeable talc deposits are also found at various places in the Deoban Formation, hosted within high Mg carbonates. Their mining by open pit method is operational particularly in Kumaun Himalaya. Earlier work (Valdiya, 1968; Safaya, 1986; Sharma and Joshi, 1997) carried out studies on the carbonate hosted magnesite from Almora mainly for its depositional environment and genesis. However, the origin of these magnesite deposits has been variously discussed as synsedimentary, biogenic, hydrothermal and syndiagenetically replaced mineralisation. Updated data has helped to comprehend the origin of this magnesite. Thick bands, lenses and pockets of dirty white to pink colour, fine to very coarse grained stellate magnesite are found within the dolomite of the Deoban Formation. In addition, minor chert, carbonaceous material, and sometimes iron and sulphides are associated with magnesite and dolomite. The stromatolites are inevitably associated with magnesite, inferring that they facilitated the precipitation of magnesium carbonates with a rise in total Mg\(^{2+}\) in restricted water. Petrographic observations show that magnesite blades are either randomly oriented (Fig. 2a) or crystallized into spherulitic texture. The magnesite and dolomite exhibit a general sharp contact, but the irregular contacts are not uncommon wherein replacement of dolomite by magnesite is seen. Successive replacement from calcite to magnesite is also noticed elsewhere (Radvanec et al., 2001). Fine grained vein magnesite, infilling fractures and observed at few places is result of later recrystallization. Talc occurs as irregular patches or pockets in these carbonate host rocks, showing close association with magnesite and restricted with dolomite. Bands, intercalations and pockets of talc also occur at the contact of dolomite and magnesite. The magnesite, quartz and talc are intimate partner in an assemblage, which shows development of talc at the expense of magnesite and silica. Chert flakes and the talc flakes are interleaved. Further details of the grain relations are evident in SEM study. The fluid inclusion microthermometry was carried out at the fluid inclusion laboratory of Wadia Institute of Himalayan Geology, Dehradun, and using calibrated LINKAM THMSG 600 stage fitted onto the Nikon E600 microscope. Fluid inclusions calculations are based on Flmcor program of Brown (1989), and the salinity estimates on the tables of Bodnar (1993). The fluid inclusions have been studied in dolomite, magnesite and gangue quartz. Fluid inclusions are abundant in clusters and trails. Fluid inclusions trapped in dolomite and magnesite, are biphasic aqueous inclusions (Fig.3a).

A systematic increase in estimated salinity from about 7.5 to 19 NaCl wt% eq., is result of increased burial and longevity of the residence of fluids in the basin. This was linked to Mg enrichment and magnesite formation. The studied trapped aqueous brines represent remnants of basinal fluids having composition of H\(_2\)O-NaCl±KCl± MgCl\(_2±\) CaCl\(_2\). The range of the salinity from about 2 to 17 wt% NaCl eq. is identified for basinal fluids (Radvanec et al., 2001; Huraiova et al., 2002). It is known that saline fluids are invariably present in most of the sedimentary basins, and majority of mineral resources in such basins have been affected by these basinal fluids. The relation between final melting temperatures and the homogenization temperatures are poorly systematic (Fig. 4), these are interpreted to represent mixing of the basinal fluid with meteoric water, though partly reset of early inclusions can not be completely ruled out. Localized flux of the CO\(_2\) occurred because of mineral reaction forming talc after magnesite/dolomite and quartz (Slaughter et al., 1975). The geochemical signatures in magnesite and talc do not invoke any external flux of Mg carrying fluid in talc formation (Sharma et al., 2008), this is also substantiated by the fluid inclusions data of dolomite and magnesite suggesting within basin processes.

**Barite Mineralization**

Barite mineralization in Himalaya invariably occurs in sedimentary formations, much of it in the Lesser Himalaya with only limited barite reported from the Tethyan sediments. The barite in Tethyan sedimentary rocks from Kumaun Himalaya is suggested to be structural controlled hydrothermal mineralization (Sinha, 1977), as corroborated by the minor sulphide mineralization associated with it. Majority of barite is found in the Nagthath Quartzite, Krol-Tal Formations of Lesser Himalaya, and minor barite occurs in the Great Limestone. These barites are not associated with large scale sulphide mineralization, although at a few locations barite is found as gangue in an assemblage consisting minor sulphides in veins. Workable barite occurs in veins, beds, lenticles and pockets in the Nagthat quartzite rocks wherein its concordant as well as discordant relation are seen with the hosts. The host Nagthat quartzites are medium to fine grained texturally and mineralogically mature sandstones which represent moderately sorted, subarkosic to sublithic arenite with subordinate amounts of fine-grained quartz arenite and quartz wacke. The geochemical evidences coupled with paleo-current direction suggest that the source for the host Nagthath siliciclastics were possibly southerly situated Banded Gneissic Complex of Aravali- Bundelkhand craton (Islam et al, 2002; Verma and Sharma, 2007).
Fig. 1. (a). Location of the study area, (b). Regional geological map of Uttarakhand Lesser Himalaya (after Valdiya, 1980), showing location of studied magnesite, talc and barite.

Fig. 2. (a). Photomicrograph of the coexisting magnesite, quartz and talc, (b) SEM micrograph showing development of barite neograins after the barite porphyroclast.
The depositional characters of various Lesser Himalayan barites and a general absence of igneous activity in their neighborhood are matching for their genetic environment. The quartz grains show bimodal distribution with common presence of detrital quartz porphyroclasts and the finer recrystallized polycrystalline grains. The euhedral to subhedral grains of barite also show varied degree of recrystallization and formation of fine grains (Fig. 2b). Sutured marginal contacts between the grains of barite and host rock infer sharing of the depositional history. Fluid inclusion study carried out on barite show that the primary inclusions in barite grains are filled with saline aqueous fluid (Fig. 3b). Both monophase and biphasic inclusions are noticed. Their final ice melting temperatures correspond to a salinity < 15 wt NaCl eq., and the homogenization to liquid phase occurred at 170± 40º C. Fluid inclusion study support participation of moderately warm, saline aqueous fluid in barite deposition. Strontium isotope ratios in barite are useful in understanding its genesis (Barbeiri et al., 1984; Marchev et al., 2002). The abnormally high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios: 0.720448± 0.000034 to 0.728637± 0.000039, are obtained for the Tons valley barite (Sharma et al., 2003) suggesting participation of the material from highly radiogenic crustal source. The material derived from gneissic rocks of BGC is also likely to be enriched in radiogenic strontium isotope because weathering products of Precambrian shield areas are rich in radiogenic strontium, with $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios varying from 0.712 to 0.730 (Holland, 1984). The strontium isotope geochemistry of the barite mineralization present in quartzites of Aravali Super Group overlying BGC also show high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr contents (Deb et al., 1991). We have also carried out sulphur isotope analyses of the barite. Obtained high $\delta^{34}$S values in barite substantiate absence of magmatic fluid participation in initial barite formation. These probably points to the participation of Proterozoic sea water in barite formation (Nielsen 1979; Schidlowski 1988). It is interpreted that the leaching of Ba from K- feldspar of the source BGC rocks possibly supplied Ba$^{2+}$ for barite formation. During the fractional crystallization of igneous melts, Ba$^{2+}$ gets mainly confined to K-bearing minerals because of the similarity in the ionic radii of these two elements. Therefore, feldspars and micas are enriched in Ba$^{2+}$ (Barbeiri, 1989), thereby granites usually contain 400-10,000 ppm of Ba content and the K- feldspar in granites may consists up to 6% Ba in its structure. Hence, the consideration of various parameters infer fluid mixing model for the initial barite formation (Fig. 5). They recommend mixing of the marine and crustal material and participation of saline aqueous fluids in barite formation may be linked with diagenesis of host sedimentary rocks.
Fig. 5. Diagram illustrating mixing of the two fluids for the barite formation as deduced from Sr and S isotope signatures.
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